

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

10/090,362	03/04/2002	Basil Naji			
		Dasii Naji	BALDS2.031AUS	5166	
20995 7590	03/09/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			MARCANTONI, PAUL D		
2040 MAIN STRI FOURTEENTH F			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92	2614		1755		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A I' A' At		ma
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/090,362	NAJI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Paul Marcantoni	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a least reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this comm  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	4 March 2002		
	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	ı
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Dâte nformal Patent Application (PTO-15: 	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/090,362

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

1. Claims 1-15, drawn to a method of mixing fly ash and water to reduce the amount of water of a cement slurry, classified in class 501, subclass 32.

II. Claims 16-33, drawn to a method of making a cement slurry containing fly ash, classified in class 106, subclass 705.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product from merely mixing fly ash and water is deemed to be useful for making a ceramic material, a roadway base material (without any cement), etc. and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Note: Applicants' claim 1 contains no cement so there is no cement slurry but merely a slurry of water and fly ash. Also, the U.S. patents cited on the PTO-892 have been presented to show that fly ash and water mixtures can have different uses other

Application/Control Number: 10/090,362

Art Unit: 1755

than merely adding to a cement slurry to make a cement composition. They are Lynn et al. (6,387,175 B1), Golitz et al. (5,583,079), and Singh (6,204,214).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Sanders on 3/1/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 1755

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755